

**Comparing Structured Pelvic Rehabilitation With Standard Maternity Care for
Reducing Postpartum Urine Leakage**

Suneeta Baretto, Research Scholar, Malwanchal University, Indore

Dr Jisha George, Research Supervisor, Malwanchal University, Indore

Abstract

Postpartum urinary incontinence is a common but frequently underreported consequence of pregnancy and childbirth. Standard maternity care often provides brief verbal or written advice about pelvic floor exercises, whereas structured pelvic rehabilitation includes individualized assessment, supervised pelvic floor muscle training, progression, adherence support, bladder education, and follow-up. This narrative review compares these approaches and evaluates their likely effects on postpartum urine leakage. Evidence from randomized trials and systematic reviews indicates that well-taught pelvic floor muscle training can improve strength, coordination, and urethral support and may reduce urinary incontinence, particularly when programs are intensive, supervised, targeted to symptomatic or higher-risk women, and supported over time. However, trials of universal postpartum programs have produced mixed findings. Differences in participant risk, timing, exercise dose, confirmation of correct contraction, adherence, control-group exposure, and outcome definitions complicate comparisons. Standard care remains important for screening, reassurance, and referral, but its low intensity may not correct poor contraction technique or sustain practice. A stepped model is

therefore appropriate: all postpartum women should receive respectful screening and clear information, while those with persistent leakage, functional impairment, uncertain technique, or obstetric risk factors should receive individualized rehabilitation. Future research should compare clearly described programs, report harms and adherence, include diverse populations, and examine long-term and cost outcomes. Structured rehabilitation is best understood as an enhanced, person-centered form of conservative care rather than a guaranteed cure.

Keywords: postpartum urinary incontinence, pelvic floor muscle training, maternity care, pelvic rehabilitation, childbirth

Introduction

Urine leakage after childbirth may occur with coughing, laughing, lifting, exercise, urgency, or difficulty reaching a toilet. Although symptoms sometimes improve during postpartum tissue recovery, persistent incontinence can restrict physical activity, disturb sleep, affect sexual and emotional well-being, and create embarrassment. Many women do not volunteer the problem because they consider it an unavoidable consequence of childbirth or are not directly asked.

Pregnancy increases pressure on the pelvic floor, while vaginal birth may stretch muscles, fascia, nerves, and connective tissue involved in continence. Instrumental delivery, prolonged pushing, perineal trauma, larger infant size, obesity, constipation, and antenatal incontinence can raise risk, although leakage also occurs after cesarean birth. Pelvic floor muscle training is the principal conservative intervention because repeated, correctly performed contractions can

improve muscle strength, endurance, coordination, and timely closure around the urethra (Bø et al., 2017).

The relevant comparison is not exercise versus no exercise. Standard maternity care may already include leaflets, discharge advice, or encouragement to perform contractions. Structured pelvic rehabilitation adds assessment, professional instruction, progressive dosing, behavioral support, and reassessment. This article compares the two care models, synthesizes evidence, and considers how maternity services can target rehabilitation safely and efficiently.

Defining the Two Care Approaches

Standard maternity care varies across settings. It commonly includes routine postnatal checks, general recovery advice, opportunistic questions about bladder function, and basic instructions about pelvic floor contractions. This approach is inexpensive and can reach most women. Its limitations are brevity and inconsistency. A woman may receive information while tired, in pain, or caring for a newborn; clinicians may not observe whether she can contract without bearing down, breath-holding, or substituting abdominal and gluteal muscles. Follow-up may focus more heavily on infant care, contraception, wounds, or mood than continence.

Structured rehabilitation is a planned clinical pathway. It usually begins with symptom and obstetric history, bladder and bowel review, functional goals, and assessment of contraction technique. With consent, a qualified clinician may use digital palpation, observation, ultrasound, or another feedback method. Training is then individualized for strength, endurance, rapid contractions, relaxation, and pre-contraction before activities that provoke leakage. Programs

may also address bladder habits, fluid intake, constipation, graded return to exercise, weight management, and adherence. International terminology emphasizes that pelvic floor muscle training is a repeated exercise program, not a single instruction to “do Kegels” (Bø et al., 2017).

Guidance supports supervised conservative care for women with urinary incontinence and recommends attention to correct contraction, regular practice, and review (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [NICE], 2019, 2021). Structured care therefore differs from standard advice through dose, monitoring, tailoring, and continuity rather than through a completely different treatment.

Evidence for Prevention and Treatment

The strongest overview comes from the Cochrane review of antenatal and postnatal pelvic floor muscle training. It found that structured training begun early in pregnancy can reduce the risk of urinary incontinence later in pregnancy and in the middle postpartum period, especially among women who were continent when training started. Evidence for treatment initiated after birth was less certain, and population-wide postnatal programs did not consistently reduce late postpartum incontinence (Woodley et al., 2020). This distinction is important: prevention during pregnancy, treatment of symptomatic women, and universal postpartum education are different clinical questions.

Trials demonstrate why program design matters. Mørkved et al. (2003) found that intensive group training combined with home exercise during pregnancy reduced urinary incontinence during pregnancy and after birth. Reilly et al. (2002) similarly reported preventive

benefit among primigravid women considered at increased risk of postpartum stress incontinence. These antenatal programs included professional instruction and repeated contact, features largely absent from brief standard advice.

Postpartum treatment trials are more mixed. Chiarelli and Cockburn (2002) tested a physiotherapist-led continence-promotion program after delivery that combined education, pelvic floor exercises, and adherence strategies. The intervention improved exercise practice and reduced incontinence at short-term follow-up compared with usual care. Glazener et al. (2001) also found that conservative nurse-led management for women with persistent postnatal urinary or fecal incontinence produced short-term improvement. At longer follow-up, however, differences diminished, illustrating the difficulty of sustaining behavior and benefit after professional contact ends (Glazener et al., 2005).

Other studies have not found clear superiority. In primiparous women, Åhlund et al. (2013) reported no significant difference in urinary incontinence after a home-based training program compared with controls, although muscle strength improved and participants in both groups may have exercised. Hilde et al. (2013) found that a supervised postpartum program did not significantly reduce urinary incontinence prevalence in a broad sample of primiparous women. Such results do not show that correct training is biologically ineffective; they suggest that universal recruitment, spontaneous postpartum recovery, control-group exercise, and variable adherence can reduce the detectable difference between study groups.

More individualized care may produce broader functional gains. Sigurdardottir et al. (2020) evaluated early postpartum, physical-therapist-guided training and reported improvement

in pelvic floor symptoms and muscle outcomes, although effects were not identical for every continence endpoint. Fritel et al. (2015) likewise showed that supervised prenatal training improved muscle-related measures but did not eliminate all differences in postpartum incontinence. Across studies, improvement in contraction strength does not always translate directly into a binary “continent versus incontinent” outcome because leakage also depends on tissue support, nerve function, urgency, activity exposure, and baseline severity.

Evidence for pelvic floor muscle training in women with urinary incontinence more generally is favorable. A Cochrane review concluded that supervised training improves cure and improvement rates compared with no treatment or inactive control, particularly for stress incontinence (Dumoulin et al., 2018). Postpartum populations, however, require separate interpretation because tissues are healing, symptoms may resolve naturally, feeding and sleep demands affect adherence, and the mixture of stress, urgency, and mixed incontinence varies.

Why Structured Rehabilitation May Outperform Standard Care

Correct technique is the first advantage. Some women contract too weakly, bear down, or cannot relax after contraction. Repeated incorrect practice may be ineffective and can aggravate pain or overactivity. Individual examination and feedback allow the clinician to confirm an inward lift, identify tenderness or excessive tone, and decide whether strengthening, coordination, relaxation, or referral should take priority.

Progression is the second advantage. Muscles adapt when training is repeated and gradually challenged. A structured program can vary body position, hold duration, speed,

repetitions, and functional tasks. It can teach the “knack,” a voluntary contraction immediately before coughing, lifting, or impact. Standard instructions rarely provide this degree of progression.

Adherence support is the third advantage. Postpartum women face fatigue, unpredictable schedules, pain, and competing caregiving demands. Successful programs use realistic home plans, reminders, diaries or apps, problem solving, and scheduled review. Chiarelli and Cockburn (2002) demonstrated that adherence strategies can change exercise behavior, while the fading benefit in long-term follow-up highlights the need for maintenance support (Glazener et al., 2005).

Finally, structured rehabilitation treats the whole presentation. Leakage may coexist with urgency, constipation, prolapse symptoms, pelvic pain, painful intercourse, abdominal weakness, or fear of exercise. A therapist can modify loading, coordinate breathing and trunk function, and refer for medical evaluation when symptoms are atypical. Brief universal advice cannot provide the same differential assessment.

Advantages and Limits of Standard Maternity Care

Standard care has legitimate strengths. It is scalable, low cost, and able to normalize discussion of bladder symptoms. Universal education can explain that leakage is common but not something women must simply accept. It can encourage early self-management and identify those who need referral. The World Health Organization (2022) recommends a positive postnatal experience

based on individualized information, assessment, and continuity; continence screening fits within that broader goal.

The problem is not standard care itself but an overly minimal version of it. A leaflet without demonstration may be misunderstood, and a single question such as “Are you leaking?” may miss women who have reduced activity to avoid leakage. Standard care also varies by staffing, insurance, access, culture, language, and whether pelvic health is included in routine postpartum review. These inequalities affect who reaches specialist rehabilitation.

For women with mild, improving symptoms and correct technique, supported self-management may be sufficient. Conversely, persistent leakage, major functional restriction, inability to contract, pelvic pain, prolapse symptoms, recurrent urinary infection, voiding difficulty, fecal incontinence, or neurologic signs require assessment rather than repeated generic strengthening advice. NICE (2021) supports tailoring prevention and nonsurgical management to symptoms, examination, and individual goals.

Outcome Measurement and Interpretation

Studies and services should measure more than the presence or absence of leakage. Useful outcomes include episode frequency, pad use or pad-test loss, symptom severity, bother, quality of life, confidence during activity, contraction strength and endurance, and adherence. Validated questionnaires, bladder diaries, and patient-selected functional goals help determine whether change is meaningful.

Timing also affects interpretation. Measurements at six weeks may reflect early tissue healing, whereas outcomes at three, six, or twelve months better test persistence. Spontaneous recovery can make both structured and standard-care groups improve. Contamination occurs when controls independently perform pelvic floor exercises. Blinding participants and therapists is difficult, and programs differ substantially in intensity. These factors explain why pooled evidence supports pelvic floor training overall while individual postpartum trials report conflicting results (Woodley et al., 2020).

Safety reporting should include pain, increased pelvic-floor tension, difficulty emptying the bladder or bowel, symptom worsening, and emotional discomfort with examination. Exercise is generally low risk when taught appropriately, but strengthening is not suitable for every pelvic-floor problem. Trauma-informed consent and the option to decline internal assessment are essential.

Implications for Maternity Services

A stepped pathway can combine the reach of standard care with the precision of rehabilitation. During pregnancy and after birth, clinicians should provide clear education and ask directly about stress and urgency leakage. Women should receive a demonstration of correct contraction and information about when to seek help. At postpartum review, staff should assess symptom persistence, severity, activity restriction, pain, bowel symptoms, and contraction confidence.

Women with significant or persistent symptoms should be referred to a pelvic-health physiotherapist or other appropriately trained clinician. Rehabilitation should establish baseline

measures, agree on meaningful goals, prescribe a progressive home program, and review technique and adherence. Services should offer language access, telehealth where appropriate, and alternatives for women who cannot attend repeated appointments. Home programs can be useful, but the mixed results reported by Åhlund et al. (2013) show that written instructions alone should not be assumed equivalent to individualized supervision.

Health systems should also avoid framing leakage as a personal failure to exercise. Recovery is influenced by obstetric injury, connective tissue, nerve function, comorbidities, access, and workload. Persistent symptoms despite good adherence warrant reassessment and, when necessary, urogynecologic or urologic evaluation. Pelvic rehabilitation is one component of care, not a substitute for diagnosis.

Research Priorities

Future trials should define standard care precisely, confirm whether control participants undertake exercises, and report training dose, supervision, adherence, and fidelity. Studies should separate prevention from treatment and stress from urgency or mixed incontinence. Recruitment must include women after cesarean and vaginal births, those with severe perineal trauma, and populations facing financial, geographic, linguistic, or cultural barriers. Longer follow-up is needed to determine whether booster visits or digital support sustain benefits. Cost-effectiveness and return-to-activity outcomes are also important for service planning.

Conclusion

Structured pelvic rehabilitation offers clear clinical advantages over minimal standard maternity advice: it verifies technique, tailors exercise, supports adherence, monitors progress, and addresses associated pelvic-floor problems. Research supports pelvic floor muscle training as an effective conservative strategy, but postpartum trials do not show uniform superiority for every universal program or outcome. Benefits appear most likely when training is supervised, progressive, adequately supported, and directed toward symptomatic or higher-risk women. Standard care should provide universal screening, education, and referral, while structured rehabilitation should be readily available when leakage persists or affects daily life. This integrated model is more defensible than either indiscriminate specialist treatment or reliance on a leaflet alone.

References

- Åhlund, S., Nordgren, B., Wilander, E. L., Wiklund, I., & Fridén, C. (2013). Is home-based pelvic floor muscle training effective in treatment of urinary incontinence after birth in primiparous women? A randomized controlled trial. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 92(8), 909–915.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.12173>
- Bø, K., Frawley, H. C., Haylen, B. T., Abramov, Y., Almeida, F. G., Berghmans, B., Bortolini, M., Dumoulin, C., Gomes, M., McClurg, D., Meijlink, J., Shelly, E., Trabuco, E., Walker, C., & Wells, A. (2017). An International Urogynecological Association and

International Continence Society joint report on the terminology for the conservative and nonpharmacological management of female pelvic floor dysfunction. *International Urogynecology Journal*, 28(2), 191–213.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-016-3123-4>

Chiarelli, P., & Cockburn, J. (2002). Promoting urinary continence in women after delivery: Randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*, 324(7348), 1241–1244.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7348.1241>

Dumoulin, C., Cacciari, L. P., & Hay-Smith, E. J. C. (2018). Pelvic floor muscle training versus no treatment, or inactive control treatments, for urinary incontinence in women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2018(10), CD005654.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005654.pub4>

Fritel, X., de Tayrac, R., Bader, G., Savary, D., Gueye, A., Deffieux, X., Fernandez, H., Richet, C., Guilhot, J., Fauconnier, A., & the 3PN Study Group. (2015). Preventing urinary incontinence with supervised prenatal pelvic floor exercises: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 126(2), 370–377.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000972>

Glazener, C. M. A., Herbison, G. P., MacArthur, C., Lancashire, R., McGee, M. A., Grant, A. M., & Wilson, P. D. (2005). Randomised controlled trial of conservative management of postnatal urinary and faecal incontinence: Six year follow up. *BMJ*, 330(7487), 337.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38320.613461.82>

Glazener, C. M. A., Herbison, G. P., Wilson, P. D., MacArthur, C., Lang, G. D., Gee, H., &

Grant, A. M. (2001). Conservative management of persistent postnatal urinary and faecal incontinence: Randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*, 323(7313), 593–596.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.323.7313.593>

Hilde, G., Stær-Jensen, J., Siafarikas, F., Ellström Engh, M., & Bø, K. (2013). Postpartum pelvic floor muscle training and urinary incontinence: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 122(6), 1231–1238.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000012>

Mørkved, S., Bø, K., Schei, B., & Salvesen, K. Å. (2003). Pelvic floor muscle training during pregnancy to prevent urinary incontinence: A single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 101(2), 313–319.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844\(02\)02711-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844(02)02711-4)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2019). *Urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse in women: Management* (NICE Guideline NG123).

<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng123>

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2021). *Pelvic floor dysfunction: Prevention and non-surgical management* (NICE Guideline NG210).

<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng210>

Reilly, E. T. C., Freeman, R. M., Waterfield, M. R., Waterfield, A. E., Steggles, P., & Pedlar, F. (2002). Prevention of postpartum stress incontinence in primigravidae with increased bladder neck mobility: A randomised controlled trial of antenatal pelvic floor exercises.

BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 109(1), 68–76.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2002.t01-1-01073.x>

Sigurdardottir, T., Steingrimsdottir, T., Arnason, A., & Bø, K. (2020). Can postpartum pelvic floor muscle training reduce urinary and anal incontinence? An assessor-blinded randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 222(3), 247.e1–247.e8.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.09.011>

Woodley, S. J., Lawrenson, P., Boyle, R., Cody, J. D., Mørkved, S., Kernohan, A., & Hay-Smith, E. J. C. (2020). Pelvic floor muscle training for preventing and treating urinary and faecal incontinence in antenatal and postnatal women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2020(5), CD007471.

<https://doi.org/>

10.1002/14651858.CD007471.pub4

World Health Organization. (2022). *WHO recommendations on maternal and newborn care for a positive postnatal experience*.

<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240045989>